

SINGAPORE AVIATION ACADEMY

Hazard Identification SASS

Gim T TEO Principal Training Specialist (Safety Management)

27 Mar 2018



Copyright © 2015 CAAS. No part of it may be used, circulated, quoted, or reproduced for distribution outside CAAS without prior written permission. Every care has been taken to ensure that the information contained here is accurate. Nonetheless, CAAS does not warrant the currency, accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this document, nor does CAAS accept liability for loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from reliance on the information contained in this presentation.

Presentation Objective

This presentation provides you with the awareness about various sources and methodology for capturing hazards.

2

Hazard Identification

- Identify hazards from occurrence notification reports
- Identify hazards from occurrence investigation process
- Identify hazards from voluntary reporting system
- Identify hazards from review of aviation equipment and processes
- Identify hazards during safety/ quality audit process
- Identify hazards during operational monitoring systems data review

4

- Establish supplementary hazard survey programs
- Establish a central hazards register

Hazard identification from occurrence notification reports

Liaise with Safety/ Quality function to review routine occurrence notification reporting formats to ensure there is provision for recording of pertinent hazards; and their correlation with the organization's SMS hazards register, where appropriate.

Operational Incident/ Occurrence <u>Notification</u> Report (enhanced contents)

To: Safety/ Quality Manager

- 1. Preliminary Information/ Data:
- 2. Description of Incident/ Occurrence:
- 3. Rectification Action Taken (if any):
- 4. Hazard/ Threat Identified (if any):

From: Operational Personnel

 Operational personnel will now be made aware of the need to annotate any known or observed hazard/ threat information, in the course of making an occurrence/ incident notification report.

>>> 4_Incident_notification

[SMM 5.3.50 (f); Fig 5-3; 5.5.5, 2.1 (ii) (a)]

Hazard identification from occurrence investigation process

Liaise with Safety/ Quality function to review existing occurrence investigation reporting format, to incorporate provision for recording of hazards uncovered during occurrence investigation process, and their correlation with the SMS hazard register.

Safety/ Quality Occurrence Investigation Report

(SMS enhanced format)

To: Safety/ Quality Manager

- 1. Preliminary Information/ Data:
- 2. Description of Occurrence:
- 3. Rectification Action Taken (if any):
- 4. Safety/ QC Investigation Findings:
- 5. Hazards/ Threats Identified (in notification report or during investigation, if

any):

Yes / No [if Yes, please fill out Table below]

| Item | Hazard/ Threat Description | *Action Taken/ Recommended | | | | | | |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

- 6. Conclusion (cause of occurrence):
- 7. Action Taken/ Recommended to prevent recurrence:

From: Safety/ QC Inspector

 Investigation personnel will now be made aware of the need to address any notified or uncovered hazards/ threats, *in the course of investigating an occurrence/ incident.*

>>>5_Occ_Inv_Rpt

Voluntary-Confidential Reporting System

Liaise with Safety/ Quality function to develop voluntary / confidential reporting procedure.

Voluntary Reporting Form

6_Voluntary Hazard form >>>

(essential contents) To: Voluntary Reporting System Administrator/ Manager

- 1. Preliminary Information:
- 2. Type of Report (select as applicable): Hazard / Incident / Self-disclosure
- 3. Description of Hazard/ Incident/ Self-disclosure:
- 4. Suggested follow up action (if any):

Name/ Contact (optional)

Note: The company assures that all voluntary reports received is covered by the company's SIP policy (and its principles of exceptions), as stated in company SOP xxx.

7

SMM 5.3.50 (b); Fig 5-3; 5.5.4, 2.1 (i) (a); C5-App 5]

HIRM Review of Aviation Equipment and Processes

- Liaise with operational managers to establish program for systematic review (survey) of all relevant aviation safety-related equipment/ processes deemed eligible for HIRM.
- Identify list of safety-critical equipment and installation types to be periodically scheduled for an operational survey of its hazards and risk mitigation status
- Review equipment's existing or previous HIRM records (if any)
- Review equipment's OEM HIRM records (if any)
- Prioritise those equipment with no existing safety survey record, especially if they are locally developed/ integrated/ modified with no continuing original equipment manufacturer (OEM) HIRM support

8

[SMM 5.3.49; 5.5.4, 2.1 (i) (b)]

SINGAPORE AVIATION ACA

• Establish equipment hazard survey procedure and documentation

7_hazard survey procedure_form_rpt >>>

Hazard Identification from Safety/ Quality Audit Reports

Liaise with Safety/ Quality function to review internal safety/ quality audit reporting format to ensure their provision for recording of hazards uncovered during auditing process; and their necessary correlation with the organization's hazards register.

Safety / Quality <u>Audit</u> Report (enhanced contents)

To: Safety/ Quality Manager

- 1. Preliminary Information/ Data:
- 2. Area/ Operation/ Process audited:
- 3. Relevant standards & SOPs:
- 4. Non-conformance Findings:
- 5. Corrective Action Requests (CARs) issued:
- 6. Hazards/ Threats identified during audit (if any):
- 7. Verification of CARs action closure:

From: Safety/ QC Inspector

 Safety/ Quality Inspectors will now be made aware of the need to address and uncover hazards/ threats in the course of a routine audit/ surveillance.

>>>8_Quality Audit rpt_enhanced

Hazard identification from operational monitoring systems data

- Liaise with operational/ safety/ quality managers to establish provision for identification of potential operational hazards during operational performance monitoring systems data review process (eg FDAP, automatic data capture systems, etc).
 - Routine operational data capture systems which show recurring deviations are likely indications of a potential underlying hazard
 - Such potential hazard indications should be captured during routine data review process for necessary follow up actions
 - Hazard so identified, should be registered with the organization's central hazards register

Establish other supplementary safety survey programs

- Liaise with operational/ safety/ quality managers to establish other supplementary or collaborative safety review/ survey programs, where appropriate.
 - Such surveys should include sub-contractors' operational areas
 where appropriate
 - Such operational areas on-site hazards survey may also be triggered or initiated by virtue of specific SPI Alerts

| [SMM 5.5.5, 3.3 (ii) (b); 5.3 |
|-------------------------------|
| |

Establish central hazards register

- Liaise with SMS administration office to develop a Hazards Register to serve as a master repository of all hazard reports received.
 - Liaise with SMS administration office to create a Hazards Register to serve as a master repository of all hazard reports received.
 - A central Hazards Register should normally be administered by the permanent SMS administration office or the office responsible for administering the organization's voluntary reporting system.
 - Such a central hazards registry (database) is important to ensure harmonization and integration of the organization's HIRM process.



Establish central hazards register

Sheet 11 Hazard & Risk Management Database (Register) 9Sep16

| | | mazara a misicini | Bernene | | 5.000.7 | 556910 | | | | | | | | | | | <u></u> |
|---------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| | Hazard Reg | | | | | gistration | | | | SRM Project Registration* | | | | | | | |
| _ | Area/ | Hazard [H] / Threat [T] | | | | Unsafe-Event [UE] / Consequence [C]* | | Recommended Action | | SRM Project Assignment | | | SRM Project Completion | | | | |
| Item | Operation/ Equipment | Generic Hazard/ Threat (Original Report) | Source of Information* | Specific Hazard/ Threat* | | <i>Reported with</i> the Hazard | <i>Projected from</i> the Hazard | Corrective Action* [Yes/ No] | Action* | SRM Priority Level* (H, M, L) | Dept / Sect | Project I/C | Date Activated | Date Completed / Rpt Ref | Existing RI & Tolerability (UE/UC) | Resultant RI & Tolerability (UE/UC) | Next Review Date |
| Example | operation. | large rat sighted in aircraft rear galley area during cruise. | 20 | [H] - Rats infestation of A320 aircraft | OPS- ALPHA- H1-M- 013 | Nil | [C] - Aircraft wiring/ equipment damage by rats. | No | Yes | Medium | Я320 Operations | <i>АВС</i> | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Hazard ID Code:

Sector (1) - Organization (2) - Hazard No (3) - Priority Level (4) - Year (5)

- 1 Sector: AGA / ANS / OPS / DMO / AMO / MDO* (*MDO Materials Distribution Organizations, including fuel distribution)
- 2 Organization: Five letters code (eg ALPHA Alpha Airline)
- 3 Hazard No: Hazard number (eg H001) as assigned by the organization concerned within a given Year.
- 4 Priority Level: Hazard prioritization Level [High (Accident), Medium (Serious Incident), Low (Incident)].
- 5 Year: Year when the Hazard was registered in the organization's Hazard Register.

Hazard ID Code Illustration:

- a) OPS-ALPHA-H001-M-013 [Air Operations Alpha Airline Hazard #1 Moderate Priority Year 2013]
- b) AGA-GATB-H005-L-012 [Aerodrome Timbaktu Airport Hazard #5 Low Priority Year 2012]

*Explanatory Notes:

- 1 Source of Information: Hazard information as may be extracted from Voluntary Hazard Rpt, Occurrence Notification/ Investigation Rpt, Internal Audit Rpt, External Audit Rpt, Hazard Survey Rpt, Operational Data Review Rpt
- 2 Specific Hazard/ Threat: If more than one Hazard/ Threat identified, register such additional Hazard/ Threat under new row/ item
- 3 Unsafe Event / Consequence: As reported (occurred) with the Hazard, OR as projected (predicted) from the Hazard. If multiple UE/ C involved, register such additional H>UE>C threads under a new row/ item.
- 4 Corrective Action: If the Hazard can be effectively eliminated through conventional corrective action (eg disposal, repair, replacement, modification), annotate YES with the action taken/ recommended. Otherwise annotate NO.
- 5 SRM Action: Annotate YES to indicate systematic SRM action is recommended (or has been taken already). Annotate NO if systematic SRM action is not recommended (or not necessary).
- 6 Priority Level: SRM or Corrective Action Priority Level based on (Annex 13) occurrence category of the projected (or reported) Unsafe Event or Consequence. Accident High; Serious Incident Medium; Incident Low.
- 7 SRM Project Registration: This column for registration of assigned (new) SRM project, or a previously completed SRM project (with respect to the specific H>UE>C thread).

Note: More details about hazards register in M4.

>>> 2_HIRM (sht 11)

SINGAPORE AVIATION

ACADEM

<<<

Establish Hazards Prioritization Procedure

- Coordinate with SMS administration office to develop a process for classification of registered hazards in order to facilitate their prioritization for risk mitigation.
 - The priority of a hazard to be scheduled for corrective or SRM action may depend on the severity of its projected Consequence

Note: More details about hazards prioritization in Module 4

| | OPTION 1 (Basic) | OP | OPTION 2 (Advanced) Prioritization in relation to the Risk Index (severity & likelihood) category of the Hazard's worst possible consequence. | | | | |
|-------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Criteria | Prioritization in relation to the Hazard's worst possible consequence (incident severity) category. | Index (sev of the Haz | | | | | |
| Methodology | a) Project the Hazard's worst possible consequence b) Project this consequence's likely occurrence classification ie it will be deemed to be an accident, serious incide or incident? c) The Hazard's prioritization is thus: Projected Consequence Hazard Level Accident Level 1 Serious Incident Level 2 Incident Level 3 | (based on matrix) of consequer b) With re Tolerabili Index's To Extreme F Risk, Low c) The Ha Projec | ference to the ty matrix, dete: olerability leve Risk, High Risł v Risk, Negligi zard's prioritiz ted Risk Level Extreme Risk High Risk Moderate Risk | Likelihood vorst possible related mnine the Risk l, such as c, Moderate ble Risk) ation is thus: Hazard Level R1 R2 R3 R4 | | | |
| Remarks | This Option 1 takes into consideration severity of the Hazard's projected Consequence only. | the severit Hazard's j more com | This Option 2 takes into consideration the severity & likelihood of the Hazard's projected Consequence – a more comprehensive criteria than Option 1. | | | | |

[SMM 5.5.4, 2.1 (i) [c]; C2-App 3]